Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0 CONFIDENTIAL

PM SD/HSB

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting Chief, Physical Security Division

FROM : Chief, Headquarters Security Branch

SUBJECT : Review of Milestone Office Functions &

Activities

The following are identified as the functions and activities of the Headquarters Security Branch.

I. Provide twenty-four hour security service for Agency personnel and facilities (Function).

A. Activities

- 1. Provide Agency personnel with initial advice and guidance on a wide range of problems concerning both security and non-security matters. Serves as a focal point for either solving these problems in the Security Duty Office or as a conduit for getting the employee to the person or office who can assist them.
- 2. Accepts and evaluates offers of information, inquiries and complaints from Agency and non-Agency personnel, both telephonically and in person. These types of actions are received, evaluated and action taken generally in accordance with established procedures, but in many instances they are handled on an ad hoc basis.

25X1A

4. Reports of security violations in all Agency buildings in the metropolitan area (with the exception are received from the FPOs and preliminary inquiries and reporting conducted.

25X1A

5. Takes responsive action regarding the various intrusion and fire alarms and closed circuit TV systems.

VUIN IDEITING

³ Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

- 6. Coordinates and distributes information on security matters after hours, including the relaying cables and dispatches to appropriate officials within the Office of Security.
- 7. Appropriate acts as information conduit to FBI, Secret Service, and local police departments relative to arrests, accidents, deaths of personnel, bomb threats, demonstrations, threats on life of President and other governmental officials, etc.
- 8. Renders support to various component duty officers, including, but not limited to, Medical, Personnel, Logistics, Security, DDO and Ops. Center/DDI.
- 9. Maintains comprehensive logs and records of all activities and events. This includes preparation of emergency reports for senior Agency and OS officials.
- 10. Monitors the OS radio net which also serves senior Agency officials including the DCI, DDCI, and DDA. This activity includes operation and control of the OS paging system.
- 11. Effects minor after-hours repairs of safekeeping equipment.
- 12. Serves as the after-hours weather watch for the OS and initiates prescribed and ad hoc emergency actions, including timely notification of appropriate officials responsible for action in such emergencies.
- 13. Maintains phone and radio contact with the various local fire and police authorities who have concurrent jurisdiction over Agency property and officials.
- 14. Conducts after-hour security checks of senior offices including the D/OS and DCI suites.
- 15. Conducts after-hours security, safety and guard post inspections in Agency buildings in the metropolitan area.
- 16. This Office serves as contact point for all Agency personnel. Extension 6161 is provided as the emergency number for all new EODs and their families, for employees on TDY or leave, for the families of personnel in training at outlying sites and etc.

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

25X1A

17. Upon direction by designated officials, responsible for carrying out the initial notification relative to the various OS emergency plans. These plans include CIA Emergency Plans, etc.

25X1A

II. Provide a receptionist program to process visitors into Agency facilities (Functions).

A. Activities

- 1. Process each visitor by completing appropriate documentation, verifying criteria for access, providing appropriate badges, and notifying the office to be visited.
- 2. Maintain a record of each visitor and appropriate data concening each visit.
- 3. Provide special arrangements for high-level officials, conference groups

25X1A

- 4. Provide directions to Agency buildings, information on bus schedules, issue shuttle bus tickets and request cab service.
- 5. Maintain a daily count on all badges assigned to visitors and follow up on those badges which are unaccounted for.
- 6. Maintain updated directories including names, room numbers and telephone extensions of areas which are normally visited in Agency buildings.
- 7. Maintains an alertness for potential security problems including cranks, unattended briefcases, suspicious packages, etc.
- III. Provide maintenance on all safekeeping equipment in the Headquarters and outlying buildings (Functions).

25X1A A. Activities



Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

- 2. Changes combinations on all safekeeping equipment and electrical and mechanical door locks in the Metropolitan area. In addition to the routine combination changes, effects changes on all safecontainers which are compromised through security violations.
- 3. Installs locks on all newly constructed secure areas.
- 4. Provides advisory opinions to the Security Violations Officer whenever there is a possibility of a safe cabinet having been defective rather than improperly secured.
- 5. Provides after-hours service on major safe problems which cannot be handled by the SDO.
- 6. Provides service to open key locks when the keys have been lost or forgotten.
- 7. Maintains liaison with Office of Logistics personnel to ensure that necessary and appropriate modifications are made on inoperable safe cabinets prior to their being returned to service.
- 8. Maintains liaison with safe equipment manufacturer's representatives in the area in order to keep abreast of the latest trouble-shooting and repair techniques on equipment and to ensure a reliable flow of spare parts.
- IV. Provide escort service for uncleared personnel, funds and classified materials (Functions).

A. Activities

- 1. Serves as escort for the movement of large sums of money and bulk classified materials between various facilities, both inter and intra Agency. Maintains radio contact with the SDO and sounds the alert on any provocations.
- 2. Provides escort for the protection of the transcriptions of the various proceedings taken when Agency officials attend closed hearings on Capitol

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

- 3. Provides escort of uncleared working contractor and char force personnel working throughout Agency facilities, on a 24-hour, 7-day a week basis.
- V. Maintains a system to collect collateral and fines for the Agency's parking and traffic violators (Function).

A. Activities

- 1. Receives and processes all traffic and parking tickets issued each day by the Federal Protective Officers. Tickets are maintained according to state and license numbers.
- 2. Conducts follow-up on all unpaid tickets by having the Commanding Officer of the Headquarters' FPOs contact the local police jurisdictions (via telephone or letter) to establish the identity of the violator, via his license plate number.
- 3. Contacts the traffic violators, reminds them of their obligation. Requests their cooperation by either paying the collateral or attending court.
- 4. Collects forfeited collateral fines and prepares necessary forms and receipts.
- 5. Maintains active files on all pending tickets until each violation has been disposed of. These records are considered to be official court records and the monies collected public fund.
- 6. Prepares appropriate documentation relative to each incident adjundicated by the U.S. Magistrate. This requires preparing a report to reflect the disposition of each court case as well as the forfeitures and administrative adjustments.
- 7. Delivers all monies to the court together with written disposition of each case.
- 8. Provides information and guidance concerning GSA Parking Regulations, collateral fines and court appearances.

(**) | CONTINE | Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

VI. Maintain a badge and credential system for Agency and Agency-associated types of personnel (Functions).

A. Activities

- 1. Conducts preliminary security indoctrination for all professional and clerical employees, at time of their entrance on duty.
- 2. Fingerprints all categories of personnel and process their records through Clearance Division to the FBI for appropriate checks.
- 3. Operates a photographic console to take badges and credential pictures.
- 4. Operates laminating equipment in preparation of all types of badges. Maintains contact with the manufacturer for repairs and service. This activity includes preparation of passport photographs for VIPs and emergency TDY and PCS cases. This requires special processing.
- 5. Issues badges and credentials to security approved personnel.
- 6. Maintains a record of all badge and credential transactions in the CENBAD computer system.
- 7. Maintains daily liaison with OJCS on all matters concerning CENBAD. This involves trouble-shooting problems in the system, training of personnel in the operation of CENBAD. Follow-up on services which CENBAD has been programmed to provide, establishing requirements for special CENBAD reports to assist OS officials in the management planning and programming and etc.
- 8. Receives badges and credentials of persons who have terminated their Agency affiliation. All records must be changed to reflect receipt of these items.
- 9. Controls and issues permanent and temporary CIA contact credentials. Work closely with OTS and CCS on all such documentation.

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

- 10. Maintains liaison with component security and administrative officers throughout the Agency for the purpose of coordinating activities regarding Agency documentation.
- VII. Oversee GSA Federal Protective Officers to ensure protection and security for Agency personnel and facilities (Functions).

A. Activities

- 1. Provides guidance and direction to four Federal Protective Service Zone Commanders, who have protective cognizance over all Agency occupied buildings in the Metropolitan area through verbal and written instructions.
- 2. Maintains continual liaison with GSA Headquarters officials.
- 3. Serves as coordinator and overseer on all Federal Protective Service charges, costs, overtime, staffing and manpower matters. Prepares monthly and special reports concerning these matters; coordinates closely with Zone Commanders to ensure that the Agency receives the service for which it has contracted and and is being charged.
- 4. Levies priorities on FPO Zone Commanders to ensure that special coverage and emergency requirements are handled on a timely basis.
- 5. Conducts periodic pedestrian traffic flow counts as a means of verifying the need for Protective Officer posts.
- 6. Maintains direct daily contact with Federal Protective Service Zone Commanders on matters of Federal Protective Officer performance, appearance and demeanor. Ensures that directives and instructions are carried out properly. Routes admonishments and commendations of Federal Protective Officers through the Zone Commander.

25X1A

Chief, Headquarters Security Branch

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 CIA-RDP83BD0823R00070002003650CRET UNCLASSIFIED ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET SUBJECT: (Optional) 25X1A EXTENSION FROM: DATE 5311 Policy and Plans Group COMMENTS (Number each camment ta shaw fram whom TO: (Officer designation, raom number, ond DATE OFFICER'S to wham. Draw a line acrass column after each comment.) building) FORWARDED RECEIVED Under Objective B57104 the Office 1. OS/PTOS/Physical of Security will review and Security Division/HSB validate all Office of Security functions, activities...to ensure 2. effectiveness, economy and efficiency The attached documents represent two milestones under that objective 3. Milestone 1, the components identified their functions and activities. Milestone 2 was establishment of a 4. format for evaluating these ... activities. It is requested that Physical Security Division/HSB 5. review the attachment and: a) Certify that there are no Call dele deletions/corrections/additions to be made to the previous listing submitted by your office b) Review the Identification of 7. Activity statement on each evaluation sheet to ensure it fully equals to the comparable activity from your offices listing. c) Use the attached format to evaluate each individual activity. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.

FORM

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 rcdAiRDP83E00823R000700020036-6 ponsible and parking tickets issued each day by the Federal Protective Officers.

			4 *	
ACTIVITY CODE:	III, A, (b), 37	COMPONENT:	OS/PTOS/Physical	L Securit
EVALUATION:			Division/HSB DATE:	
traffic violation violation traffic violation	r reference(s) for U.S. Magistrate in Lations on U.S. Fe cover this functi erence(s) still in beneficiary(ies)	carrying out ederal propertion other than	his functions re	25 X1A
U.S. Govern		•		
boles i the benefit	ctivity is still ciary(ies) feel is be done more effic	f		No No_ <u>X</u>
Do you feel that to another OS c If so, where an	responsibility for omponent or to and d why?	the activitother Office?	y should be tran YesNo_X	sferred
	*	·		
Can another compo More properly?	nent do the activi YesNoX	ty more effi If so, which	ciently? Less c component and w	ostly? hy?
Does the activity Watergate? Yes	include anything No X If s	questionable o, what?	in the light of	
Can this activity significant effort If so, how?	be reduced in sco ect on overall Age	pe/size or di ncy security:	iscontinued with YesNo_X	out
Is there any active important than t	rity not now being his one? Yes	pursued by (OS that you feel so, what?	is more
		•		

IDENTIFASPFOVED For Release 2000 09:00 for conducting follow-up on all 2007 and tickets.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 38 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security	r
DATE:	
EVALUATION:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Support of U.S. Magistrate in carrying out his functions re traffic violations on U.S. Federal property. Could find no reference to cover this function other than Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	
U.S. Government	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?	
×-	
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_X If so, where and why?	
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X_ If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?	•e

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03coGlA-RDR83B00823R000700020036-0fines and prepares necessary forms and receipts. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 39 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Support of U.S. Magistrate in carrying out his functions re traffic violations on U.S. Federal property. Could find no reference to cover this function other than 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Now who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? U.S. Government. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Nox If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____ No_X__ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No χ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No x If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/63 Offic RDF83B00823R000700020036 Opensible for maintaining active files on all pending tickets until each violation has been redeemed (These records are considered to be official court records and the monies collected public fund.) ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 40 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Support of U.S. Magistrate in carrying out his functions re traffic violations on U.S. Federal property. Could find no reference to cover this function other than Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ Nowho is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? 25X1A U.S. Government. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

relative to each incident adjudicated by the U. S. Magistrate. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 41 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Support of U.S. Magistrate in carrying out his functions re traffic violations on U.S. Federal property. Could find no reference to cover this function other than Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? 25X1A · Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? No U.S. Government. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___ Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No x If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/08 OCA RDP83B00823R000700020036-0 for preparing appropriate documentation

for preparing appropriate documentation

together with written disposition of each case III, A, (b), 42 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Support of U.S. Magistrate in carrying out his functions re traffic violations on U.S. Federal property. Could find no reference to cover this function other than Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x__ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? U.S. Government. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No . Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_ χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Scurity component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03deGIA-RIP83500823Ri00070002003600rt

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

concerning GSA Parking Regulations, collateral fines and court appearances. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 43 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
Division/HSB EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Support of U.S. Magistrate in carrying out his functions re traffic violations on U.S. Federal property. Could find no reference to cover this function other than Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No 25X1A Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? U.S. Government. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes \underline{X} No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes \underline{X} No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No x If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No x If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X_ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

FICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03; GIA:RDP83B00823R00070002003610e

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

relaying cables and dispatches to appropriate officials within the Office of Security. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 7 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ Nowhoo is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X_ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03cpGtAiRDPB3BQG823R90070002QQ36rDFormation

on security matters after hours, including

Approved For Release 2000/09/03:5CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0 duty officers, including, but not limited to, Medical, Personnel, Logistics, Security. DDO and OPS. Center/DDI. COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security III, A, (b), 9 ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB DATE: **EVALUATION:** What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No x If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

The Office of Security component that

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000	The Office of Security Component that 1/09/03esC1A-RDP83BO0823R00070002003640
/\ppi-0.000 01 (010000 2000	personnel. Extension 6161 is provided
	as the emergency number for all new EODs
	and their families, for employees on TDY or leave, for the families of personnel
	in training at outlying sites and etc.
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b),	COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
	Division/HSB
EVALUATION:	\underline{DATE} :
*	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) sti Who is (are) the beneficiary(ll in force? Yes χ No ies) of this activity?
Agency as whole	
. 4	till managamy? Noo y No
Do you feel the activity is s	till necessary? Yes x No eel it is still necessary? Yes x No
Can the activity be done more	efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?	
	· ·
Do you feel that responsibili	ty for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or	to another Office? YesNo_X
If so, where and why?	
Can another component do the	activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More property: res No	χ If so, which component and why?
D. Admids in 12-1- and	Aline anationable in the light of
Watergate? Yes No x	thing questionable in the light of If so what?
matergate: 103NO_X_	
Con this activity he reduced	in scope/size or discontinued without
	11 Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now	being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Y	es No X If so, what?

IDENTI Approved For Release 2000/09/01fickA-RDF83B00823R000700020036 pansible for providing twenty-four hour security service for Agency personnel and facilities. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 1 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No______ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes X No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No__X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X_ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

for maintaining an alertness for potential security problems including cranks, unattended briefcases, suspicious packages, etc. III, A, (b), 24 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3): Federal 25X1A Property and Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Y_{es} X Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes_ Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____ No_X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No xIs there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 0 CHACRDR83B00873R000700020036-0 responsible

FICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/03taClA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: assigned to visitors and initiates action on unaccounted for badges. III, A, (b), 22 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No x If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03e: CfA-ROP86B00823R000700020036-Qnat provides Agency personnel with directions to Agency buildings, issues shuttle bus tickets, requests cab service and provides information on bus schedules. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 21 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3);

Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? No : Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___ Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No_X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

foreign nationals visiting Agency facilities. COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security III, A, (b), 20 ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); 25X1A Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reterence(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No x If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No x If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03i dCIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0ph-

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

The Office of Security component that

level officials, conference groups and

ACTIVITY CODE: III A	(b) 10 COMPONE	OS / DTOS / Dhyci	cal Socurity
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, EVALUATION:	(b), 19 COMPONE	NT: OS/PTOS/Physi Division/HSB DATE:	
Is (are) the reference(s	f 1947, as amended, S Administration Service) still in force?	Sect. 102(3); ces Act of 1949, : Yes X No	as amended;
Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity Do (es) the beneficiary (i Can the activity be done If so, how?	is still necessary? es) feel it is still	Yes <u>x</u> No necessary? Yes	s <u>x</u> No Yes No <u>x</u>
Do you feel that respons to another OS componen If so, where and why?	ibility for the acti t or to another Offi	vity should be t ce? Yes No	ransferred X
Can another component do More properly? Yes	the activity more e No X If so, wh	fficiently? Les	s costly? d why?
Does the activity include Watergate? Yes No		ble in the light	of
Can this activity be redusignificant effect on of If so, how?	overall Agency secur	ity? Yes N	0 <u>X</u>
Is there any activity not important than this one	t now being pursued a? Yes No X	by OS that you f If so, what?	eel is more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/69/03prCtAsRDP83B00823R00070602603640 on Agency facility.

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CFA-RDP83B00828R000700020036-Dat, upon direction by designated officials, is responsible for carrying out the	
initial notification relative to the various OS emergency plans. These plans include CIA Emergency Plans,	
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 18 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Securi	ty
EVALUATION: Division/HSB DATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the hopeficient (in a force).	
Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole.	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?	X
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why?	
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?	<u>}</u>

advice and guidance on a wide range of problems concerning both security and non security matters. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 2 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reterence(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No -Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ____ No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CFA-RDP\$3B00823R000700020036-0 sponsible for providing Agency personnel with initial

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03ceC1A:RDP88B00823R009700020936f0 information, inquiries and complaints from Agency and non-Agency personnel, both telephonically and in person.

	ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 3	COMPONENT:	OS/PTOS/Phys Division/HS	ical Securi	ity
	EVALUATION:	•	DATE:	;	
	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1		•		٠
		•			
	What is (are) our reference(s) for t	his activit	y? Date(s)?		
25	National Sec. Act of 1947, as am Property & Administration Service	ended, Sect es Act of 1	. 102(3); Fed 949, as amend	leral led;	
	Is (are) the reference(s) still in f Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	orce? Y this activ	Tes χ No $$		
	Agency as a whole	is.			
	Do you feel the activity is still ne Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effici If so, how?	is still ne	ecessary? Ye	es X No Yes No	X
	·	•			
	Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activit	y should be YesN	transferre	ed
		-	i.		
	Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No X I	y more effi f so, which	iciently? Len component a	ess costly?	?
	Does the activity include anything q Watergate? YesNo_X_ If so	uestionable, what?	e in the ligh	it of	
	Can this activity be reduced in scop significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	e/size or d cy security	discontinued ?? Yes	without No_X	
	Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by No_X If	OS that you so, what?	feel is mo	ore

IDENTIFIAPPROVED FOR Release 200009/03/10 CLARPES Security component that maintains CLARPES BOOK 23R00070602003605 of all activities and events concerning the Headquarters Building and sub-building complexes. III, A, (b), 10 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes_X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No XIf so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____No_X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/05/03 Of CIA-RDF83 E00823R000700020036 ponsib1
net which also serves senior Agency officia including the DCI, DDCI, and DDA. (This activity includes operation and control of the Office of Security paging system.)
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 11 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
EVALUATION: Division/HSB DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended;
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Agency as a whole
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No_X If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

		· '		the state of the s		
		•				
ACTI	VITY CODE:	III, A, (b),	12 COMPON	 ENT: OS/PTOS/Phy	sical Secu	rity
	LUATION:		**************************************	Division/H DATE:	SB	
25X1A Is (Property 8 (are) the re	Administration eference(s) sti	Services Act 11 in force?	tivity? Date(s) Sect. 102(3); Fo of 1949, as amer	ided:	
Do у	Agency as	activity is s	till necessary	v? Yes X No		
Do(e Can	s) the bene	eficiary(ies) f	eel it is sti	ll necessary? Yor less costly?	es X No Yes N	NO X
to	ou feel tha another OS so, where	component or	ty for the act to another Of	civity should be	transferr No <u>x</u>	ed
			÷			
Can Mo	another com re properly	ponent do the a	activity more X If so, v	efficiently? L which component	ess costly and why?	r ?
Does Wa	the activi tergate? Y	ty include any esNo_X	If so, what?	aable in the lig		
si	this activi gnificant e so, how?	ty be reduced ffect on overa	in scope/size ll Agency secu	or discontinued rity? Yes	without No_X	
Is the important of the second	here any ac portant tha	tivity not now n this one? Ye	being pursuedesNo_X	l by OS that you If so, what?	feel is m	ore

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03efCtATRDP83B00823R00070003003640 of safekeeping equipment.

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY Approved For Release 2000/0	09/03:05/ARDP83B00823R000700020036-Dat
, фр. стой тог колойо 2000.	
	for the Office of Security and initiates
	prescribed and ad hoc emergency actions,
<u> </u>	including timely notification of appropriat officials responsible for action in such
A CTITITUTE COLUMN	emergencies
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b),	13 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
EVALUATION:	Division/HSB
	DATE:
National Sec. Act of 1947	for this activity? Date(s)?, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal
Property & Administration	Services Act of 1949, as amended;
Is (are) the reference(s) still who is (are) the beneficiary(i	l in force? Yes X No es) of this activity?
Agency as a whole	
Do you feel the activity is st Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) fe Can the activity be done more If so, how?	
Do you fool that	
to another OS component or the If so, where and why?	y for the activity should be transferred o another Office? Yes No X
who so who to and why:	
·	
2	
Can another component do the ac More properly? Yes No	X If so, which component and why?
	·
Does the activity include anyth Watergate? Yes No X	ning questionable in the light of
	22 30, Wilat:
Can this activity to the	$\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}}$
significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? Yes No_X_
Is there any activity not now b important than this one? Yes	eing pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENTIFACTION OF Release 2000/09/030 fciARDP83B00823R660760020036-Donate for maintaining phone and radio contact with the various local fire and police authorities who have concurrent jurisdiction over Agency property and officials. III, A, (b), 14 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended Sect. 102(3); Federal

X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No . Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

The Office of Security component responsible for

Approved For Release 2000/09/93ecGIA-BDP83B20823B20823B200000036aVe

protective cognizance over all Agency occupied buildings in the Metropolitan area.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 56	COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Securit
EVALUATION:	Division/HSB
27.120.11.011.	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) fo	r this activitus Put 6.20
National Sec. Act of 1947, as	s amended Sect 102(3) Federal
25X1A Property & Administration Ser	rvices Act of 1949, as amended;
Is (are) the reference(s) still i Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes X No
Agency as a whole	
	•
Do you feel the activity is still	necessary? Yes X No
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff	it is still necessary? Yes $\frac{x}{N_0}$ No iciently or less costly? Yes $\frac{x}{N_0}$
If so, how?	referred of less costly: les No.x
	A (1)
Do you feel that responsibility for	or the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	nother Office? YesNo^
Can another component do the activ	vity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes No_X	If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
,	\mathbb{V}^{-1}
Is there one activity and	
important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

FICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Secutity component responsible for maintaining continual liaison with GSA

Approved For Release 2000/09/06 PG-RDP83B90823R000700020036-0 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 57 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Securi	t
EVALUATION: Division/HSB DATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect02(3), Federal 25X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended;	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	
Agency as a whole	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?	X
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS' component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why?	1
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes Nox If so, how?	
ar bo, non.	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is mor important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?	e

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for serving as coordinator and overseer on all Approved For Release 2000/09/03: G-MeRIP83E00823R060700020936-0 ertime, staffing and manpower matters.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 58 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB
EVALUATION: DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3), Federal 25X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended;
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No No No who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Agency as a whole
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes X No X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X
If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is mor important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for conducting periodic pedestrian traffic flow counts

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 each A-RDP83B00823R000700920036c9 officer posts.

ACTIVITY	CODE:	III, A, (b), 59	COMPON	ENT: O	S/PTOS/Ph	ysical	Securit
EVALUATI	ON:					Division/ DATE	HSB	
•					•			
25X1A Pro	operty &	r referencec. Act of Administra	194/, as tion Ser	amended, vices Act	Sect. of 19	102(3), 49, as am)? Federal ended;	
Is (are) Who is (a	the ref are) the	erence(s) beneficia	still in ry(ies)	force? of this a	Ye. ctivi	s X No		•
	ency as a			i.			•	· .
Do you for Do (es) the Can the a	iccivity	activity i iciary(ies be done m	s still ;) feel i ore effic	necessary t is stil ciently o	? Yes I nece or less	s X No essary? s costly?	YesX Yes_	No_X
	-	-8		*	م. م			
to anot	el that her OS d where ar	responsible component of why?	ility for	the actother Off	ivity ice?	should be	e trans No <u>X</u>	ferred
					•		•	
Can anoth More pr	er compo operly?	nent do tl	ne activi No <u>X</u>	ty more. If so, w	effici hich c	ently?]	Less co and wh	stly? y?
V A								
Does the Waterga	activity te? Yes	include a	$\frac{X}{X}$ If s	question:	able i	n the lig	tht of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· .		•				
Can this a signific If so, l	cant err	be reduce ect on ove	ed in sco erall Age	pe/size o ncy secui	or dis	continued Yes	l without No_X	
							•	
Is there a importar	any acti it than	vity not n this one?	ow being Yes	pursued No_X	by OS If so	that you, what?	feel	is more
			•					

circuit TV systems. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 6 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3), Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Yes_X No__ Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No · Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_ χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No x If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No x If so, what?

FICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03 ACIA RDE 3890823 ROO 7002 2036 Dg the

various intrusion and fire alarms and closed

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

names, room numbers and telephone extensions of areas which are normally visited in Agency buildings. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 23 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3), Federal 25X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____ No_X_ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 maintaining updated directories including

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

IDENTIFACTOR For Release 2000/09/03 of Security component responsible Service and local police departments as appropriate concerning arrests, demonstrations threats on life of President, ect. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 8 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No χ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000	/(
---------------------------	----

25X1A

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 4 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Securi
EVALUATION: DIVISION/HSB DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the estimate
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes \underline{x} and No \underline{x}
This activity more or less belongs to Central Cover but they do not have the means or capability to perform the duty so it probably can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No. If so, which remains they are the contractions they are the contractions to the contraction.
More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Poes the activity include
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No_X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/03/03/	Office of Security 060 mp 0 20636 esponsible sching Pas Book 24 R 060 700 20636 esponsible
mate	rials between various facilities both
cont	act with the SDO and sounds the alort or
ally	provocations.)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB
EVALUATION:	<u>DATE</u> :
<u> 1.0</u>	25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s) for National Sec. Act of 1947, as	amended, Sect. 102(3);
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
Agency as a whole.	*
Do you feel the activity is still to Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient for how?	4 is a 4:11
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No X
Can another component do the activi More properly? YesNo_X_	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
	- M'
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/p9/03; C/A:RDP83B00823R000700020036ct on of the transcriptions of the various proceedings taken when Agency officials attend closed hearings on Capitol Hill. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 35 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); 25X1A | Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes \underline{x} Nowho is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____ No_X_ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No χ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIM-RDP83B00828R000700020036-0 sponsible for conducting after-hour security checks of senior offices including the D/OS and DCI suites. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 15 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? No Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes_ No · If so, how? No X Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? YesX and No X If so, where and why? This activity is a drain on the time of the SDO but there is no other component to which the responsibility can be transferred. Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes x No If so, which component and why? The office reponsible could do this function more efficiently but because of the high levels involved believe their Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No Y If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that is Approved For Release 2000/09/03 e.C. LAFR DR83B99823R000700020036r9 FPO and conducts preliminary inquiries and reports on the violation.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 5	COMPONENT:	OS/PTOS/Physical	Security
EVALUATION:	*0	Division/HSB DATE:	
	•		•
What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this activi	ty? Date(s)?	
	25X1A	•	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? of this acti	YesNo	
Agency as a whole	ia.	•	÷
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more effit If so, how?	it is still n	ecessary? Yes X	No NoX
· .			
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?			sferred
			• •
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No X	vity more eff If so, which	iciently? Less c h component and w	ostly? hy?
•		*	
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No x If		e in the light of	,
	•		
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?			
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by No X If	OS that you feel so, what?	is more

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 Office RDP83860823R0007000020036esponsible for conducting after-hours security, safety and guard post inspections in Agency buildings in the metropolitan area. III, A, (b), 16 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes XCan the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No__x___ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

important than this one? Yes ___ No X If so, what?

25X1A		Metropolitan ar	gency facilities rea, including (s in the overt and	
ACTIVITY CODE:	III, A, (b),	25 COMPONE	NT: OS/PTOS/Phy Division/F	sical Securi	ty
EVALUATION:		**	DATE		
What is (are) o	ur roference(s) for this act	ivity? Date(s)?	
what is (ale) o	ur reference (s	25X1A			
Is (are) the re Who is (are) th	ference(s) sti e beneficiary(ll in force? ies) of this a	Yes No		
Agency as a	who1e		•		
Do you feel the Do(es) the bene Can the activit If so, how?	ficiary (ige) t	PELLS SE IE 199	i necessary:	162 V VO	
Do you feel that to another OS If so, where	component or	ty for the act to another Off	ivity should b	e transferre No <u>X</u>	d
Can another com	ponent do the ? Yes No	activity more.	efficiently? which component	Less costly? and why?	
Does the activity Watergate?	ty include any es No_χ	thing question If so, what?	nable in the li	ght of	
Can this active significant of If so, how?	ity be reduced effect on overa	in scope/size all Agency secu	or discontinue urity? Yes	ed without No_X_	
Is there any action important that	ctivity not not not not not this one?	w being pursued Yes No_X	l by OS that yo _ If so, what?	ou feel is mo	re

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

IDENTIFACATION OF Release 2000/09/03 of Files Bank 23/2007/09/036-9s

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 26 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? No Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No[X]If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No χ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/p9/03 e CJARDP83B00823R000700020036 Reeping

equipment should be surplused.

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 h clar pp 83 B 000700070007003 fekeeping equipment and electrical and mechanical door locks in the Metropolitan area.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 27 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security	ity
EVALUATION: DATE:	
25X1A	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes \underline{x} No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	
Agency as a whole	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?	
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferre to another OS component or to another Office? YesNo_X	ď
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No χ If so, which component and why?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No_X_ If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is moint important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?	re

Approved For Re	elease 2000/ 99/03 PO	alrines arons	.ey component r	esponsible con structed
Approved For Ite	secure a	reas		0-0
			, ×	9.1
•				
ACTIVITY CODE: III	, A, (b), 28 C	OMPONENT: OS	S/PTOS/Physical Division/HSB	Security
EVALUATION:		·	DATE:	
What is (are) our re	ference(s) for th	is activity:	? Date(s)?	
	25X1A		·	
Is (are) the referen Who is (are) the ben				
The Agency as a	who1e	·*		
Do you feel the acti Do(es) the beneficia Can the activity be If so, how?	ry(ies) feel it is	s still nece	essary? Yes X	No_X
Do you feel that res to another OS comp If so, where and w	onent or to anoth	he activity er Office?	should be training No X	nsferred
•		•	÷ .	
Can another componen More properly? Ye	t do the activity sNo_XIf	more efficiency, which o	iently? Less component and r	costly? why?
Does the activity in Watergate? Yes	clude anything que No X If so,	estionable in what?	in the light o	£
Can this activity be significant effect	reduced in scope, on overall Agency	size or dis	scontinued with	hout

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

If so, how?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 f CIA RDP 83B00823R000700070002608 advisory opinions to the Security Violations Officer whenever there is a possibility of a safe cabinet having been defective rather than improperly secured. III, A, (b), 29 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ Nowho is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____ No_X___ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No χ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000 pg 03 ff C Release 2000 pg 0 safe problems which cannot be handled by the ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 30 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes NoX If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000#09/03::061AL-RDP83B00823R0067600020036-0ks when the keys have been lost or forgotten. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 31 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ Now who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agnecy as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes XNo -Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for maintaining liaison with Office of Logistics

Approved For Release 2000/09/93neCIA-RDR83B00823R00070002003640 riate modifications are made on inoperable safe cabinets prior to their being returned to service.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 32 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB
DIVISION/NOD
EVALUATION:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Agency as a whole
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X
If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

T DENTET CARTON OF ACCUSE	
Approved For Release 2000//	09/03 OCIA RDP83B00823R000700920036-0sponsible for maintaining liaison with safe equipment manufacturer's representatives in the
	for maintaining liaison with safe equipment
	In older to keep apreast of the latest
	crouble-snooting and renair techniques on
- ·	equipment and to ensure a reliable flow of
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A. (b).	spare parts
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b),	33 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
EVALUATION:	Division/HSB
EVALUATION.	DATE:
•	
What is (are) our reference(s	s) for this activity? Date(s)?
) for this activity? Date(s)?
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still	11 in farmer Var w
Who is (are) the beneficiary	ies) of this activity?
-/	les) of this activity?
Agency as a whole	
	A
Do you feel the activity is st	till nococcome? Van V
() the Deneth larvinger to	
If so, how?	erriciently or less costly? Yes No X
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Do you feel that responsibilit	ty for the activity should be transferred
	y for the activity should be transferred
If so, where and why?	to another Office? Yes No_X
as objection and many.	
Can another component do the a	· · · · · ·
More properly? Yes No	activity more efficiently? Less costly?
more broberry: res	X If so, which component and why?
*	
nes the activity include anyt	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Watergate? Yes No v	hing questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes No X	If so, what?
•	
·	3
on this activity he reduced in	11.0
cignificant effect on overal	n scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall If so, how?	1 Agency security? YesNo_X
II SU, HUW:	
a those one activities not now l	
s there any activity not now p	being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes	No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for conducting preliminary security indoctrination Approved For Release 2000/69/031 GLA FROP 83 B 00823 R 000 700 200 200 at time of their entrance on duty.

				-
ACTIVITY CODE	: III, A, (b), 44	COMPONENT:	OS/PTOS/Phy	sical Securit
EVALUATION:			Division/H DATE:	SB
				25X1A
What is (are) National Secur	our reference(s) fity Act of 1947, as	or this activi amended, Sect	ty? Date(s) 102(3);	?
	25X1A			
Is (are) the r Who is (are) t	eference(s) still he beneficiary(ies)	in force?) of this acti	Yes X No No vity?	
				0
no (es) the pen	e activity is still eficiary(ies) feel ty be done more eff	it is still n	OCOCCOMY?	es X No X
· .	•			
Do you feel the to another Of If so, where	at responsibility f S component or to a and why?	for the activi- mother Office	ty should be? Yes	transferred No X
Can another com	nponent do the acti v? Yes NoX	vity more effi If so, which	ciently? Le	ess costly? and why?
Does the activi Watergate? Y	ty include anythin Y of Y If	g questionable so, what?	in the ligh	it of
·		•		
Can this activi significant e If so, how?	ty be reduced in soffect on overall Ag	cope/size or d gency security	iscontinued? Yes	without No_X
				*
Is there any ac important tha	tivity not now bein not this one? Yes	ng pursued by No_X If	OS that you so, what?	feel is more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for fingerprintsing all categories of personnel Approved For Release 2000/09/paccGlAtRDP83E00828R009700020036-0 Division to the FBI for appropriate checks.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 45 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Securit Division/HSB
EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3);
25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No X
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is mor important than this one? Yes No $_{\rm X}$ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for operating a photographic console to take badges Approved For Release 2000/09/03 de6tA=RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 46 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
EVALUATION: Division/HSB DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3); 25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No No No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No $\frac{X}{X}$ If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No χ If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

The Office of Security component responsible for

Approved For Release 2000 091031 PC APROPESSE 00 23 R0007 000 20036-0

					• •
ACTIVITY CODE:	III, A, (b),	47 COMPON		Physical	Security
EVALUATION:			Divisio D	ATE:	
	•	•			-
What is (are) ou National Security	Act of 1947,			e(s)?	25X1A
To (2002) 41 2 0 5	25X1A				
Is (are) the reference Who is (are) the	erence(s) still beneficiary(i	.l in force? .es) of this a	Yes X activity?	No	
			: · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Do you feel the a Do(es) the benefican the activity If so, how?	iciary(ies) fe	el it is stil	l necessary	? Yes X	No_X
				Θ	
Do you feel that to another OS" o If so, where ar	component or t	y for the act o another Off	ivity should lice? Yes	l be transNo_X	ferred
	*				
Can another compo	nent do the a YesNo	ctivity more X If so, w	efficiently?	Less co	stly?
	* ***				
Does the activity Watergate? Yes	include anyt	hing question If so, what?		light of	
Can this activity significant eff If so, how?	be reduced in ect on overal	n sc ope/size l Ag en c y secu	or discontin	ued witho	
Is there any acti important than	vity not now h this one? Ye	being pursuedsNo_X	by OS that If so, what	you feel	is more
	0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 in ClARDP 83/090823/0007000200361 Omergency TDY and PCS cases. III, A, (b), 48 ACTIVITY CODE: COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3); 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No : Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes $_{X}$ Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No v If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

 $^{
m T}$ he Office of Security component responsible for

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

•	00				
ACTIVITY CODE: 1	II, A, (b), 49	COMPONENT:	OS/PTOS/Phy	sical Se	curit
EVALUATION:			Division/H		
				25	X1A
What is (are) our National Security	reference(s) fo Act of 1947, as 25X1A	or this activit amended, Sect 1	y? Date(s) 02(3)	?	
Is (are) the refer Who is (are) the b	cence(s) still in the sence (i.e., i.e., i	in force? You	es X No_	·	•
			-	-	:
Do you feel the action the activity to If so, how?	iary(ies) feel	it is still ned	essarv? Y	es X Yes	No_X
Do you feel that r to another OS co If so, where and	mponent or to a	for the activity nother Office?	should be Yes	transfe No <u>X</u>	rred
					÷
Can another compon More properly?	ent do the acti YesNo_X_	vity more effic If so, which	iently? L component	ess cost and why?	1y?
Does the activity Watergate? Yes_	include anythin NoX If	g questionable so, what?	in the ligh	ht of	÷_ · .
		(Y)			
Can this activity significant effection If so, how?	oe reduced in so it on overall A	cope/size or di gency security?	scontinued Yes	without No_X	
Is there can notice					
Is there any activing important than the	nis one? Yes	$\frac{\text{ng pursued by } 0}{\text{No}_{\underline{\chi}}} \text{ If so}$	that you o, what?	icel is	more
		•	**		

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for maintaining a record of all badge and credential Approved For Release 2000/09/03 ctClAsRDP8.3B00823R900.6090236-0

				•		· ·	
ACTIVIT	TY CODE:	III, A, (t), 50	COMPONENT	r: OS/PTOS	/Physical	Security
EVALUAT	TION:				Divisi	on/HSB ATE:	
		·	•	•		101	•
What is Nationa	s (are) ou 1 Security	r referency Act of 194	e(s) for 7, as ame	this activ	vity? Dat : 102(3)	e(s)?	25X1A
Is (are Who is	e) the ref (are) the	erence(s) beneficia	still in : ry(ies) o	force? f this act	Yes X ivity?	No	•
			•	.s.	00	· · · ·	
Can the	the benet	activity is iciary(ies) be done mo) feel it	is still	necessary	? Yes X	No_X
*							
to and	feel that other OS	responsibi component o nd why?	lity for or to anot	the activ	ity should e? Yes	l be trans	sferred
						•	
Can anot More p	ther compercy?	onent do th Yes	e acti vi t No <u>X</u> I	y more ef f so, whi	ficiently?	Less co	ostly? ny?
Does the	e activity gate? Yes	include a	nythin g q X If so	uestionab , what?	le in the	light of	
	÷.						
Can this signif	icant eff	be reduce fect on o ve	d in scop rall Agen	e/size or cy securit	discontingy? Yes	ued witho	ut
•					· .		
Is there import	e any acti ant than	vity not not this one?	ow being Yes	pursued by No _X If	OS that so, what	you feel ?	is more
					-		

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for maintaining daily liaison with OJGS on all matters Approved For Release 2000/09/03 in ClarRDB 3B00823R000700020036-0

ACTIVITY CODY
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 51 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Securit
EVALUATION: Division/HSB DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Data(s)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3); 25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes $\frac{X}{Y}$ Nowho is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No x If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No_{X} If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for establishing requirements for special CENBAD reports

Approved For Release 2000/09/93: GIA:RDP83B00823R00FF:00020036-0 management: plannings and programming.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 52	2 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Secur	.; +,
	Division/HSB	
EVALUATION:	DATE:	
		• .
What is (are) our reference(s)	for this activity? Det (-)	
National Security Act of 1947, a	25X1	Α -
25X1A	as amended, Sect 102(3);	
Is (are) the reference(s) still	l in force? Yes X No	
Who is (are) the beneficiary(is	es) of this activity?	
	•	,
Do you feel the activity is sti	ill necessary? Yes X No	
boles the behericiary les tee	el li le still nococcome? Ven · V	
can the activity be done more e		X
If so, how?	, and a country . 103 No	
- (1		
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?	for the activity should be transferred another Office? YesNo	đ
	* *	
*		
Can another comment 1		
More properly? Yes No	tivity more efficiently? Less costly? X If so, which component and why?	•
*		
Does the activity include anyth	ing questionable in the light of	
Watergate? Yes No X	If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? Yes No_X	
22 00; non.		
s there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes_	eing pursued by OS that you feel is more No_X If so, what?	re
		-

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for retrieving badges and credentials of persons who Approved For Release 2000/09/03er@IA-ROP33B00823R000700020038-0 changing records to reflect receipt of these items.

		•	*	
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 53			sical Secu	ırity
EVALUATION:	. D	ivision/H	SB	
EVALUATION.		DATE:		
	8.8	. •	25X1A	
What is (are) our reference(s) for a National Security Act of 1947, as ame	this activity?	Date(s)	?	
(2) (d) 25X1A	naea, Sect 102	(3);		
25X1A				٠.
Is (are) the reference(s) still in the who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force? Yes this activit	X No.	- 17	•
	•		-	
	k .	•		
Do you feel the activity is still ne	cessary? Yes	_x No_		
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effici	is still neces	ssary? Y	es <u>ý</u> Ne	
If so, how?	encry or less.	costly:	1651	No_X
*		· .		
	.*	•		
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity : her Office?	should be	transfer No <u>X</u>	red
	•		· .	
	•			
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No X I	y more efficient of so, which co	ently? Lomponent	ess costly and why?	y?
		•		
Does the activity include anything q Watergate? YesNo_ $_{ m X}$ If so	uestionable in, what?	the ligh	nt of	-
-				
Can this activity be reduced in scop significant effect on overall Agen	e/size or disc cy security?	ontinued Yes	without No_x	•
If so, how?	•	*		. :
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS No_X_ If so,	that you what?	feel is m	nore

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for controling and issue permanent and temporary

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 nullA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 54	COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
EVALUATION:	Division/HSB <u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for National Security Act of 1947, as 25X1A	25X1A or this activity? Date(s)? amended, Sect 102(3);
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes X No No Of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still	necessary? Yes X No
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff If so, how?	it is still necessary? Voc. Y.
Do you feel that responsibility f to another OS component or to a If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No
y .	
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No_X_	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? YesNo_X_If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No_X_
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for maintaining liaison with component security and Approved For Release 2000/09/03 stCIA-RDP83B00823R0000700020036.0y for the purpose of coordinating activities regarding Agency documentation.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 55 COMPONENT	OS/PTOS/Physical Security
EVALUATION:	Division/HSB <u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for this active	ity? Date(s)? 25X1A
National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 25X1A	102(3),
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this acti	Yes X No ivity?
** *** *******************************	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still n Can the activity be done more efficiently or 1 If so, how?	ecessary? Yes X
Do you feel that responsibility for the activite another OS component or to another Office If so, where and why?	ty should be transferred? YesNo_X
Can another component do the activity more eff More properly? Yes No x If so, which	iciently? Less costly? h component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionabl Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?	e in the light of
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or significant effect on overall Agency securit If so, how?	discontinued without y? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being pursued by important than this one? Yes No χ If	OS that you feel is more so, what?

contractor and char force personnel working throughout Agency facilities, on a 24-hour, 7-day a week basis. III, A, (b), 36 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3); 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No____ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No x If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 r CJA RDP 83800823R000700020036 sponsible